

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/772,244 | LIM ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Wendy C. Haas | 1661 | |

All Participants:

(1) Wendy C. Haas.

(2) Attorney Ben Duffet.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

The claim.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner contacted Mr. Duffet to inform him that the marked-up copy of the Specification was not of record in the Application. Mr. Duffet indicated he would forward the marked copy and postcard receipt that it was filed via fax.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)